	Application I	No. Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	09/989,519		RAITHEL ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Uyen T. Le		2171	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Uyen T. Le</u> .	(3)			
(2) Vincent J. Sunderdick (Reg. No. 29,004).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 23 September 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: none.				
Identification of prior art discussed: IDS filed 15 February 2004.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: this interview is initiated by the examiner to request item AQ of the IDS filed 15  February 2002. Applicant will fax a copy of item AK (DE 19850133 A1) and a copy of item AQ (German search report and translation thereof) to examiner's machine.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
				•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	